Imipenem-Relebactam and Cefoxitin are Synergistic Against Clinical Isolates of Mycobacterium abscess from People with Cystic Fibrosis.

USC Mann Alfred E. Mann School of Pharmacy

and Pharmaceutical Sciences

INTRODUCTION

- Mycobacterium abscessus (M. abs) is a group of rapidly growing, nontuberculous mycobacteria that is associated with rapid decline in lung function and shortened survival in people with cystic fibrosis (pwCF) (Illouz M et al, 2021).
- Over the last two decades, the incidence of nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) infections among Cystic Fibrosis patients has risen from 3.3% to 22.6%, (Degiacomi G et al, 2019).
- M. abs. is intrinsically resistant to many antibiotics, making it hard to treat.
- The current guidelines recommend a parental drug or a Oral Drug for the initial phase and 2 or more drugs for the continuation phase. Treatment can last up to 18 months.
- IMI/REL was found to be an effective and well-tolerated therapy for imipenem-non-susceptible bacterial infections (Hoffman, 2018).
- At the time of the study, there has been no research done on Imipenem/Relebactam with clinical isolates of m. abs from people with Cystic Fibrosis (pwCF). There is also no synergy studies on Imipenem/Relebactam with clinical isolates of m. abs from pwCF.

OBJECTIVES and AIMS

- □ This study will test the susceptibility of clinical isolates of m. abs from patients with cystic fibrosis with Imipenem/relebactam and other selected antibiotics.
- □ This study will also evaluate if Imipenem/relebactam can be synergistic with other antibiotics against clinical isolates of m. abs from people with cystic fibrosis.

METHODS

- Susceptibility and synergy studies were done using CSLI methods.
- Clinical isolates of M.abs from pwCF were used (n=29). Antibiotics were picked based on if they had oral or inhaled forms which may be more accessible to patients who have cystic fibrosis. Antibiotics that were used were also selected over previous data which may suggest synergistic effects with Imipenem.
- A lab isolate was used (ATCC19977) for comparison in both the susceptibility and synergy testing.
- For synergy MIC values, the MIC values displayed on the chart are a result of calculating the average value of the MIC seen on the plates
- Synergy was determined using the fractional inhibition concentration index equation.
- Synergy was defined by the fractional inhibition concentration index < 0.5, additive if between 0.5-4, and Antagonistic if >4.
- In all the assays, relebactam was fixed at 5ug/ml.

Danielle Fletcher-Williams (dcfletch@usc.edu); Sun-Woo Kim M.S. (skim8112@usc.edu); Aditi Shinde M.S. Candite (ajshinde@usc.edu); Eric Quach M.S. Candite (ecquach@usc.edu)

	RE	SULTS						
Ce	efoxitin N	AIC Res	ults					
Stra	ain	MIC (ug/ml)						
ATCC 1997	7	32			Table 1. Cefoxitin Susceptibility Results			
Isolates MIC	C 50	32						
Isolates MIC	C 90			128				
	Svner	zy Resu	lts					
Median MIC of drug alone (ug/ml)	Median MIC of drug with IMI/REL (ug/ml)	Median MIC of IMI/REL alone (ug/ml)	Media MIC c IMI/RE with dr	of EL rug	FIC index			
512	64	4	0.375		0.21875	Synergistic		
192	24	4	1		0.38	Synergistic		
48	8	8	2		0.42	Synergistic		
2048	128	8	2		0.31	Synergistic		
8	2	4	1		0.5	Synergistic		
2	0.5	8	1		0.38	Synergistic		
8	2	8	2		0.5	Synergistic		
16	4	6	2		0.58	Additive		
256	96	4	2		0.88	Additive		
1	0.5	8	2		0.75	Additive		
2	1	8	4		1	Additive		
	Intra	cellular	Data					
Drugs	Cma (ug/ml)	ix C	linically hievable		ntracellular enetration			
efuroxime	2.1-13	3.6	NO		LOW			
Cefdinir	1.6-2.		NO		LOW			
efoxitin	110		YES		LOW			
moxicillin	3.92-11		NO		ODERATE			
Rifabutin	0.37	5	NO	V	ERY HIGH			
NITD-916	- _		NO					
hromycin	0.5		NO		HIGH			

			RES	SULTS					
		Ce	efoxitin N	<mark>ЛІС</mark> R	esu	lts			
		Str	Strain MIC (ug/ml) ATCC 19977 Image: Comparison of the second						
		ATCC 1997				32			Table 1. Cefoxitin Susceptibility
		Isolates MI	> 50				32	Results	
		Isolates MI	C 90			•	<mark>128</mark>		
			Synerg	v Re	sult	S			
	Drugs	Median MIC of drug alone (ug/ml)	Median MIC of drug with IMI/REL (ug/ml)	Medi MIC IMI/R alor (ug/r	of EL 1e	Media MIC of IMI/RE with dru (ug/ml	f L Jg	FIC index	
	Cefuroxime	512	64	4		0.375		0.21875	Synergistic
	Cefdinir	192	24	4		1		0.38	Synergistic
Isolates	Cefoxitin	48	8	8		2		0.42	Synergistic
l Iso	Amoxicillin	2048	128	8		2		0.31	Synergistic
Clinical	Rifabutin	8	2	4		1		0.5	Synergistic
	NITD-916	2	0.5	8		1		0.38	Synergistic
with	Azithromycin	8	2	8		2		0.5	Synergistic
	Moxifloxacin	16	4	6		2		0.58	Additive
	Minocycline	256	96	4		2		0.88	Additive
	Clofazimine	1	0.5	8		2		0.75	Additive
	Omadacycline	2	1	8		4		1	Additive
			Intra	cellul	ar C)ata			
		Drugs	Cma (ug/ml)	X	Clir	nically evable		itracellular enetration	
		Cefuroxime	2.1-13			NO		LOW	
		Cefdinir	1.6-2.8	87		NO		LOW	
	-	Cefoxitin	110		Y	ΈS		LOW	
	-	Amoxicillin	3.92-11	.21		NO	M	ODERATE	
-		Rifabutin	0.375	5			V	ERY HIGH	
	e 3. Intracellular centration Data	NITD-916	-	_		NO		-	
	-	Azithromycin	0.5			NO		HIGH	

		RE	SULTS						
	Ce	efoxitin N	MIC R	lesu	lts				
	Strain ATCC 19977		MIC (ug/ml) 32						
							Table 1. Cefoxitin Susceptibil		
Isolates MIC 50		C 50			32		Results		
	Isolates MIC 90			128					
		Syner	JV Re	sult	S				
	Median MIC of drug alone (ug/ml)	Median MIC of drug with IMI/REL (ug/ml)	Med MIC IMI/R aloi (ug/i	Median MIC of IMI/REL alone (ug/ml)		an of EL rug	FIC index		
	512	64	4		0.37	5	0.21875	Synergistic	
	192	24	4		1		0.38	Synergistic	
	48	8	8		2		0.42	Synergistic	
	2048	128	8		2		0.31	Synergistic	
	8	2	4		1		0.5	Synergistic	
	2	0.5	8	8			0.38	Synergistic	
	8	2	8		2		0.5	Synergistic	
	16	4	6		2		0.58	Additive	
	256	96	4		2		0.88	Additive	
	1	0.5 8		2		0.75	Additive		
	2	1	8		4		1	Additive	
		Intra	cellu	lar [Data				
	Drugs	Cmax (ug/ml)		Clinically achievable			ntracellular enetration		
	Cefuroxime	2.1-13			NO		LOW		
	Cefdinir	1.6-2.	.6-2.87		NO		LOW		
	Cefoxitin	110		YES		LOW			
	Amoxicillin	3.92-11.21		NO		M	ODERATE		
	Rifabutin	0.375		NO		V	ERY HIGH		
	NITD-916	-		NO			_		
	zithromycin	0.5		NO			HIGH		
	loxifloxacin	3.1		NO			ERY HIGH		
	Ainocycline		2.63		NO		ODERATE		
	Clofazimine		0.9		YES		ERY HIGH		
	madacycline	e <u>1.50</u>	/		′ES	M	ODERATE		

IMI/REI

 \mathbf{N}

Table

Advisor: Dr. Paul Beringer

CONCLUSIONS

- efoxitin had an MIC50 of 32 and MIC90 of 128.
- ased on the data collected, Moxifloxacin, Minocycline, ofazimine, and Omadacycline were found to be additive ith the samples.
- efuroxime, Cefdinir, Cefoxitin, Amoxicillin, Rifabutin, TD-916, and Azithromycin were found to be synergistic th the samples.
- efoxitin, Clofazimine, and Omadacycline are clinically hievable based on their Cmax values. Among these, efoxitin is the only drug that is synergistic based on the perimental data
- ased on the results so far, IMI/REL and Cefoxitin would beneficial, along with a third drug that has better racellular penetration such as Azithromycin, oxifloxacin, or Rifabutin
- uture research would look into three drug synergistic mbos
- nis research can aid future treatment guidelines of M. abs fections in pwCF.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

- abs is a slow growing organism and takes several days grow.
- periments took days, had to come in weekends to mplete.
- ork is done in a BSL2 Lab, a specially certified lab. pecial precautions had to be put in place.
- nere was 29 samples to test with. When doing synergies combinations, this created over 348 experiments (not cluding samples that had to be redone).
- ould only do 3-4 synergies at a time, which took 4 hours do each time.

FUTURE RESEARCH

- mplete susceptibility and synergy on all clinical isolates 29)
- ree drug combination studies.
- racellular killing activity studies
- ivo studies

FUNDING

PharmD Research Fellowship

CK & Co. Pharmaceuticals

REFERENCES

- Fibrosis." Mayo Clinic, Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research, 23 Nov. 2021,
- nayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/cystic-fibrosis/symptoms-causes/syc-20353700. A, Alcaraz M, Roquet-Banères F, Kremer L. Mycobacterium abscessus, un modèle de résistance aux différentes s d'antibiotiques [Mycobacterium abscessus, a model of resistance to multiple antibiotic classes]. Med Sci
- 2021;37(11):993-1001. doi:10.1051/medsci/2021164 comi G, Sammartino JC, Chiarelli LR, Riabova O, Makarov V, Pasca MR. Mycobacterium abscessus, an ing and Worrisome Pathogen among Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(23):5868. Published 2019
- . doi:10.3390/ijms20235868 T., Taylor-Robinson, D., Waldmann, E., Olesen, H. V., Hansen, C. R., Mathiesen, I. H., Høiby, N., Katzenstein, T
- yth, R. L., Diggle, P. J., & amp; Pressler, T. (2015, October 9). Comparing the harmful effects of nontuberculous pacteria and gram negative bacteria on lung function in patients with cystic fibrosis. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis. ved August 2, 2022, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1569199315002155?via%3Dihub aal HFM, Chan ED, Young L, Baldwin SL, Coler RN. Mycobacterium abscessus: It's Complex. Microorganisms. 10(7):1454. Published 2022 Jul 19. doi:10.3390/microorganisms10071454
- SG, Zha BS, Herman DD, et al. Summary for clinicians: 2020 clinical practice guideline summary for the treatment ntuberculous mycobacterial pulmonary disease. Annals of the American Thoracic Society. 2020;17(9):1033-1039. .1513/annalsats.202003-222cme
- CL, laccarino JM, Lange C, Cambau E, Wallace RJ Jr, Andrejak C, et al. Treatment of nontuberculous bacterial pulmonary disease: an official ATS/ERS/ESCMID/IDSA clinical practice guideline. Eur Respir J
- 56:2000535 A. Imipenem/Cilastatin/Relebactam: A Review in Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections. Drugs. 2021;81(3):377-388. 1007/s40265-021-01471-8
- an M. IMI/REL proves effective against certain drug-resistant bacterial infections. Contagion Live. /www.contagionlive.com/view/imi-rel-proves-effective-against-certain-drug-resistant-bacter ial-infections.
- hed December 19, 2020. Accessed August 2, 2022. nem-cilastatin-relebactam (Recarbrio). IDStewardship. Published July 18, 2019. Accessed September 7, 2023. /www.idstewardship.com/drugs/imipenem-cilastatin-relebactam/